

Kerikeri-Waipapa Spatial Plan
Summary of consultation
Community and Stakeholder Engagement
February 2022 - August 2022

Introduction

The National Policy Statement - Urban Development 2020 (NPS-UD) requires local authorities that are preparing a Future Development Strategy to engage with the following parties:

- (a) Other local authorities with whom there are significant connections relating to infrastructure or community
- (b) Relevant central government agencies
- (c) Relevant hapū and iwi
- (d) Providers of additional infrastructure (e.g., public open space, community infrastructure (e.g. community centres), social infrastructure (e.g. schools, health care facilities), land transport (as defined in the Land Transport Management Act 2003) that is not controlled by local authorities; a network operated for the purpose of telecommunications (as defined in section 5 the Telecommunications Act 2001; and a network operated for the purpose of transmitting or distributing electricity or gas
- (e) Relevant providers of nationally significant infrastructure (e.g., State highways, national grid electricity transmission network, renewable electricity generations facilities that connect with national grid; any airport (but not its ancillary commercial activities) used for regular transport services and carrying more than 30 passengers)
- (f) The development sector (to identify significant future development opportunities and infrastructure requirements).

The development of Kerikeri-Waipapa Spatial Plan is being guided by the provisions of the NPS-UD 2020. This summary of consultation demonstrates the engagement that has occurred with the above parties.

Additionally, it also records other consultation, such as that with residents and the wider community.

Contents

- Part 1: Other local authorities
- Part 2: Relevant government agencies
- Part 3: Hapū and iwi
- Part 4: Providers of additional infrastructure
- Part 5: Relevant providers of nationally significant infrastructure
- Part 6: The development and property sector
- Part 7: Residents/community/community groups
- Part 8: Other stakeholders

Part 1: Other local authorities

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
Northland Regional Council	From Feb 2022	Seek NRC involvement Ensure alignment with regional policy, integrated delivery of shared infrastructure and projects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft Foundation Document provided to NRC • Joined online meeting held with central govt agencies 6 April 2022 and attended 27 June tour and workshop.
Whangarei District Council	April 2022	Understand respective roles/strategic functions; shared strategic objectives; seek feedback on impacts of projects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FDS for Whangarei and its focus • Co-governance models • Role of Whangarei Airport versus Kerikeri Airport • Webinar by MR Cagney on HBA
Northern Transport Alliance	From Feb 2022	Alignment and transparency of information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elizabeth Stacey-Road and Safety Engineer, (NTA) attended the Community Workshop • Met with N2A team • ONF framework

Part 2: Relevant Central Govt Agencies

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (MHUD)	From Feb 2022	<p>Sought advice on relevant govt agencies to engage with</p> <p>Seek early engagement with central government agencies</p>	<p>MHUD (Sam Cooper) liaised with his colleagues in MfE.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • They advised looking at AKL, Christchurch and Qtown councils' approach • Advised clarity on what issues or questions they want the agency to feedback on • Up to council to satisfy itself that it has engaged with the relevant agencies to create well-functioning urban environments for the next 30 years • Engaged with any agency necessary to meet the objectives of the NPS-UD, in particular agencies that have a role in providing infrastructure as well as aspects related to resilience, conservation and greenspace. <p>Online meeting with central govt. reps</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion included an overview of context FN2100; Refresh of 2007 Structure Plan • Problem definition cross boundary issues • Waipapa as a satellite • Education data • Option of a Renewable Energy Hub • Ways to take pressure off main roads around schools • Offered to provide early urban structural analysis advice
Ministry for the Environment (MfE)	06 April	Seek early engagement with central government agencies	<p>Unavailable for group session 6 April or 27 June.</p> <p>Happy to answer specific questions around issues and interpretations. Also, keen to keep across timelines.</p>
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	From Feb 2022	Integration of transport initiatives, alignment with government transport and emission reduction plan.	<p>Provided feedback on working draft Foundation Document as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports foundation document and testing scenarios by require rationale and criteria • Transport consideration should be integrated into the doc including accessibility between community services, natural spaces, open spaces by way of public or active transport • Low carbon travel choices and demonstrated reduction in vehicle km travelled

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety for all transport users • Make better use of existing networks and services • Better freight connections/movements • Emission Reduction Plan targets and direction need to be incorporated • Good to ensure consideration through the plan making and scenario testing to Government Policy Statement on Land Transport (GPS) • ONF should have been informed by current state <p>Urban Form Noted strong focus in the discussion document on housing. Recommended a broader content on urban form and what urban form outcomes are being sought. Employment and commercial activities need to be consideration within the scenarios.</p> <p>Strategic fit It would be good to better understand how this spatial plan will implement Far North 2100 and how it links in with the wider District and Region. It would also be good to understand how the spatial plan relates to the ongoing District Plan review.</p> <p>Staging/sequencing/funding If intensification opportunities or greenfield land is identified, it would be good to see the evidence base to support the timeline - staging sequencing and the associated high-level infrastructure and servicing costs. This is particularly important if large greenfield areas are identified.</p> <p>Transport interventions If any suggested transport interventions are identified in Spatial Plan, there should be a good understanding the problem and outcomes being sought and whether other options have been considered. For any State Highway improvements there should be clear reference to defining the problem through a point of entry process followed by a business case process to identify any possible solutions.</p> <p>One Network Framework Network Framework. The One Network Framework is our new national classification system and is used to determine the function of our roads and streets and inform decision making.</p>

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
		Feedback from Waka Kotahi on Current State Assessment	<p>Shared criteria for the Current State Assessment (well-functioning urban environment) as we are intending to use these same criteria to assess the spatial plan scenarios. Feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General support for the brief and desired output. It might be worth considering a more direct approach to identifying sub-areas based on what Council knows about development/zoning and infrastructure • Beneficial to include an assessment of building consent data – a comparison between zoning and what is being constructed can be a useful indicator of issues • Suggested reference GHG from the transport sector (a measure could include maybe from journey to work/education data). • Consider Emissions Reduction Plan and Climate Emergency Response Fund (CERF)
Kāinga Ora	From Feb 2022	<p>Engage with Kāinga Ora to understand their housing objectives</p> <p>To enable full involvement of Kainga Ora in the development of the spatial plan</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Governance – KO keen to participate • Development feasibility. • KO advised that they have role as developer and as a planner - keen to provide planning advice • KO are looking at strategic acquisitions and investment opportunities. • High-level spatial plan methodology • Extent of study area • Feedback from community and developers • Update on hapū engagement • Brief for the development capacity/feasibility • Other evidence base work • Overview of infrastructure constraints • Upcoming engagements and Kāinga Ora involvement • Overview of Kāinga Ora Māori • Kāinga Ora Development Feasibility • Kāinga Ora position on Inclusionary Zoning • Appeal notice to be lodged by KO with respect to council's provisions for social housing in District Plan

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
Ministry of Education	6 April 2022	Understand future schooling needs	Attended 6 April and 27 June central government agency meeting- see notes above
Department of Conservation		Significant landholding in the area.	<p>Attended the community workshop. One-on-one meeting required. Invite to June central govt workshop.</p> <p>Meeting occurred on 1 June 2022. Included Fleur Corbett Snr Community Ranger, Maddy Joplin Freshwater River Restoration, Bronwyn Bauer-Hunt Operations Mgr and 3 other staff with Ree and Briar.</p> <p>Key themes from DOC:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Iwi/hapū involvement • Climate change • Co-ordinated approach to tracks, improved cycleways-getting people out of cars • Species protection • Mountain to Sea • Wetlands • Biosecurity • Habitat protection • Kororipo Heritage Park • Green space • Light pollution • Treaty Settlements • Predator-free urban areas <p>Other comments –look at 7th generation thinking</p> <p>Noted that Kiwi population has increased owing to the efforts of local community and now has been taken off the protected species list - has implications when developments occur as may not be looked at as an effect</p>

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
District Health Board	27 June 2022	Understand health needs and future of health services in Kerikeri-Waipapa	Invited to April workshop. Attended June workshop (see below)
Te Puni Kokiri	27 June 2022		Invite to June central govt workshop.
NZ Police			Attended June central govt workshop (see below).
Central govt agency workshop – discussion consolidated	27 June 2022	Collaboration; sharing knowledge; seeking feedback	<p>1. Conceptualise the scenarios so they do not mimic site boundaries; this is important when they are still draft and are high level scenarios e.g., draw oval around general area for Brownlie land (scenario 2). Action: Ree to work with Conor (TPG) on mapping</p> <p>2. Develop some very indicative transport connections that may be required for each scenario (dotted lines) and potential order of costs Action: Tony and Brian to raise with NTA and provide some high-level suggestions for transport networks based on the scenarios- provide ideas to Ree/Roger within 2 weeks</p> <p>3. Scenario 0: Proposed District Plan (PDP) Feedback on whether additional town centre zone is required as well as mixed use and that there is more mixed use around town centre zone; Noted PDP has been adopted for public notification in July 2022. Acknowledged that study undertaken by DP team regarding Plan enabled capacity. Suggests sufficient development capacity over long term based on desk top study. Action. Scenario 0 be treated as a base scenario and assessed along with other scenarios - report is going to the FNDC Spatial Plan Steering Group regarding this option on 5 July</p> <p>4. Scenario 1: Identify Rural Residential land as FUZ around Access Rd and SE of Kerikeri Road. Agree following site visit that this FUZ area should be reduced to remove Shepherd and Campbell Lanes as significantly developed already and unlikely to change. Reverse sensitivity issues at boundary of horticultural zone - Action: Ree to include in Advantages /Disadvantages Table and note master planning may mitigate this (i.e., large lots at boundary). Action: Nick (Fluid industries) identify any private covenants that may restrict development/subdivision in the rural residential zone for work on the current state assessment</p>

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
			<p>Other matter raised is whether all the rural residential in the PDP should remain as Rural residential- ERP has national targets on reducing light vehicle movements and Rural Residential reliant on vehicular trips</p> <p>5. Scenario 2. Brownlie land- has some strategic advantages if it can be demonstrated that enhancements to connectivity achieved; at the same time, it was noted whether the development would contribute to the goal of fairness (FN2100). Touches on matters such as affordable housing/inclusionary zoning. Also is there a need to look at staged introduction of any such development at this location so as not to reduce other urban consolidation. Noted National Policy Statement on Highly Production land been significantly re-written- may have implication for class 3 soils - NPS likely to be public end of July 2022.</p> <p>6. Scenario 3: Waipapa and Local Centre- Kainga Ora- Stephanie supports more residential development at Waipapa (north of Waipapa Rd)- believe it allows for more affordable housing. Discussion also whether Kerikeri and Waipapa are separate nodes or connected and part of whole. Noted there are some services that will only be in Kerikeri that Waipapa residents would access there. Also, greater role of Kerikeri in the Far North discussed. Action: Ree to think about introducing some discussion around polycentric development (or not) options etc</p> <p>7. Scenario 4: Waipapa Employment Agreed it was an alternate to scenario 3. Not a scenario by itself.</p> <p>8 Scenario 5 Combination. Option: Discussed the extent to which this option creates 2 nodes as opposed to connected places.</p> <p>9. Other options: Jeff DHB thought that some rural residential areas East and west of Landing Road should be zoned FUZ as they appear “urban” even though not reticulated- suggested this as another scenario</p> <p>10. Crime prevention: Action: Peter form NZ Police to provide data an nature of crime and location in Kerikeri -Waipapa</p> <p>11. Health outcomes: Has been community feedback that more medical facilities required in Kerikeri-Waipapa Action: Jeff DHB to provide advice on health for Kerikeri -Waipapa such</p>

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
			<p>as number of hospitalisations; future demand. Also discussed that connectivity included being connected to people - talking being involved, not just accessing service.</p> <p>12. NRC has recent flood hazard maps Action: Ree to check TPG using latest data from NRC</p> <p>13. Matrix - agreed that it is important to define the criteria for the outcomes to allow assessment of the scenarios against the outcomes Action all: Provide feedback to Ree and FNDC team on any views on what the criteria include. General discussion that unlikely to undertake assessment until after November - following public feedback on scenarios. That is, don't go out with any preferred option at this stage - see process as keeping the community involved in the development of the spatial plan and scenario development</p>

Part 3: Hapū and Iwi

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
<p>Te Runanga a Iwi o Ngāpuhi</p> <p>Tania Pene, Iwi Development Leader, Natural Resource Management</p>	2 February	Understand the level of engagement for Ngāpuhi	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Spatial plan concept and working with hapū. • Happy for us to continue the mahi with hapū engagement and keep her updated via email with information as we progress. • From her perspective, Ngāpuhi will support hapū direction.
<p>Te Runanga o Ngāti Rēhia</p> <p>Trustee Nora Rameka -</p> <p>Trustee Waitai Tua -</p> <p>Trustee Rio Greening,</p> <p>Ngāti Rangī</p> <p>Arnold Munsell Te Uri</p> <p>Taniwha</p> <p>Richard Civil Ngāti Hineira,</p> <p>Te Uri Taniwha, kaumatua</p> <p>and kaitiaki for Te Komiti</p>	From Feb 2022	Discuss the development of the Kerikeri-Waipapa Spatial Plan and hear the views of the iwi/hapū, understand how iwi/hapū seek to be involved, and learn about iwi/hapū aspirations for the future of Kerikeri-Waipapa.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principles of Treaty of Waitangi and the key principles for hapū and how can be used as criteria for decision-making • How hapū need to be acknowledged in any projects that go ahead in Kerikeri Waipapa • Holistic approach to all work, with hapū involved from beginning to end • Visibility of hapū cultural practises in all projects • Educated rangatahi utilising NorthTec • Use of treaty settlement land for infrastructure and economic development • Affordable housing options and the use of land surrounding BOI sports hub • A collective hapū governance group was suggested for this project

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
<p>Kaitiaki Whakature I Ngā Taonga o Tangaroa. Jo Civil Ngāti Hineira, Te Uri Taniwha Hone Tiatōa e Whiu, Te Uri Taniwha Hone Mihaka Ngāti Hineira, Te Uri Taniwha o Te</p> <p>Ursula Beazley, Rose Tipene, Josephine Clark, Arnold Munsell (Ngāti Rangī)</p> <p>Hugh Rihari (Ngāti Mau)</p> <p>Hone Mihaka, Jo Civil, Hone Tiatōa, Rio Greening, Ricky Ashby, Ziandra Ashby (Te Uri Taniwha, Ngāti Hineira)</p> <p>Taura Allan, Te Rau Allan (Te Whiu)</p> <p>Nora Rameka, Kipa Munro (Ngāti Rēhia)</p>	April	The creation of the Hapū Governance Rōpū	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hapū educating FNDC – hapū of Ngāpuhi are building capability, capacity and competency Capturing a clear statement of hapū values in the Spatial Plan The Kerikeri Inlet is the food basket for hapū, their pataka. The inlet is but one shelf in the cupboard within the BOI. Concern with moorings, monitoring and regulating the impact of growth, having real data from on the ground. Pollution of the rives is a concern, all the rivers are part of one ecosystem. 1 hapū representative at the governance table, each hapū to set how and who that is for themselves <p><u>Governance Structure</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Access to technical support/subject matters experts who are presenting material Don't want the group to be isolated <p><u>Mana Enhancing Agreement</u></p> <p>It was agreed by those present for 1 representative per hapū with the following hapū given a seat at the table:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ngāti Rēhia Ngāti Hineira Ngāti Rangī Ngāti Mau Ngāti Korohue Te Uri Taniwha Te Whiu Ngāti Torehina <p>Recognising the value and importance cultural experts and the remuneration required to compensate their time and input.</p>

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
Hapū Rōpū	From June	Involving hapu early, opportunity for collective hapū to invest back into the town and its infrastructure	<p>Discussion at multiple hapū hui</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Endorsement to be provided for each rep • Considering how this group might be able to recommend to regional spatial plans • Mapping sites of significance and identifying whenua that is and isn't included • Hapū collectively coming up with their shared vision, sharing with wider community for feedback • There is be a reo and English vision – important that it is not a direct translation – each statement has its own meaning/essence • Mapping and working draft of the foundation document • Missing cultural data – sites of significance, crown land under treaty negotiations, historical land tenure • Sharing of data • Importance of shared history – educating wider community • Māori reserve transfer for community facilities • Hapū rōpū making a collective submission to the PDP • Agreed to the new proposed timeline – pushing out until after first PDP submissions – positive to have more time to work through foundation doc

Part 4: Providers of additional infrastructure

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
Schools	From Feb 2022		KKHS & Springbank invited to community workshop.
NorthTec	From Feb 2022		Invited to community workshop. Ngāti Rēhia partners with NorthTec.
Telecommunications Sector: Top Energy	June/July 2022	Looking to understand how well Kerikeri - Waipapa is being served	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Top energy have been provided with spatial plan info, meeting TBC (paused): https://topenergy.co.nz/assets/2022-AMP-Update-Final.pdf

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
Spark Chorus Vodafone		by additional infrastructure and what plans there may be for telecommunications and utility providers to improve their services.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spark, Chorus, Vodafone: Discussed enabling connectivity, future plans for the area, and how we can work together to support connectivity. i.e., if there is an area of development, contact suppliers early to ensure cost savings.

Part 5: Relevant providers of nationally significant infrastructure

- Waka Kotahi (see part 1)
- Bay of Islands Airport (see Part 8 – FNHL)

Part 6: The development and property industry

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
22 attendees (developers, consultants, real estate professionals)	From Feb 2022	Property industry online workshop to gain insight and views from this sector as per NPS-UD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Full physical and social infrastructure; greenfield and brownfields and connectivity Diversity of density including higher density Lots of green space Plan for public transport Key principles that will guide development Implementation plan Biggest handbrake on development is infrastructure Zoning also an impediment Keep qualities such as heritage, river/park network, improve boat ramps, manage traffic <p>Discussed options for growth areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kerikeri Rd, Inlet Rd and Waipapa Road Continue Rangitane river park area and Blue Penguin Drive Link up Waipapa and Kerikeri with development of farmland Kerikeri from SH 10 to existing residential area

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Take care on rural residential/lifestyle not to lock only one pattern of development in over time
Consultants and representatives of Steve Brownlie (developer)	From April 2022	For reps to introduce Brownlie proposal and understand the Kerikeri-Waipapa Spatial Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Their intention is to submit to the PDP and seek live zoning of Brownlie property Noted that engagement underway with Waka Kotahi Opportunity for the development to connect to the BOI Sports hub Open to forward funding infrastructure Land in single ownership Have undertaken their own growth projections rather than relying on Stats NZ Believe that lifestyle is driving location decisions Includes couples, couples starting families and empty nesters Drivers of growth include proximity to Auckland, good landscape/ environment, water recreation, job opportunities and house prices Discussed enabling public access to river boundary Review shared information and discuss discrepancies Commissioning several technical reports to support PDP submission Discussed population projections (their own), people move to a place that provides the best package, incl. affordable housing They believe Infometrics underestimate population growth Correlation between growth and house prices The believe house price is a function of supply, then prices can come down with competition Development feasibility study Balance between infill and greenfield – demand a function of price and quality Lake Hawea, example of relatively affordable greenfields development To discuss: transport options and water infrastructure

Part 7: Residents/ Community/Community Groups

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
Representatives from community groups: Vision Kerikeri Our Kerikeri Kerikeri Residents and Ratepayers Assoc Friends of Roland's Wood Friends of Rangitane stream Kiwi Coast Mid North Ngāti Rēhia Waka Ama Club Kerikeri Sports Complex Waipapa Sports Complex Far North District Youth Council Carbon Neutral Trust Federated Farmers Horticulture NZ Kerikeri Business Association Waipapa Business Association Rangitane Residents Association Kerikeri Irrigation Healthy Families Far North Department of Conservation Creative Northland Forest & Bird	Feb 2022	To collaborate on the development of the Kerikeri-Waipapa Spatial Plan and understand community views	Connectivity and protection key themes. Discussion and feedback collected via Jamboard .
Representatives from Vision Kerikeri and Our Kerikeri	From March	Request of VKK and Our Kerikeri to meet to discuss further	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Would like to see principles included in the spatial plan about the way in which development occurs • Support containment of development (stop sprawl) • Esplanade priority areas

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
	2022 (ongoing)	their views on the future of Kerikeri	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any development needs to provide opportunities for connections Water supply is important matter to address in the spatial plan Developers sit on land because of a lack of infrastructure Would like the council to look at ways of encouraging sustainable practices, such as water tanks and solar panels, and carbon reduction Seek to have a climate change lens over everything Seek to have planting identified as buffers/offset climate change and provide amenity and wellbeing Identifying major development projects in the pipeline Expansion of Arvida Rangitane River Park Possibility of other 'hubs' for smaller settlements in the area Consider light industrial area in Kerikeri as conflicting with other nearby activities (schools) Public access to the coast, streams and rivers. Lack of parking for beach access Multimodal transport options, including safe protected cycleways Data from Our Kerikeri's consultation exercise, categorised into wellbeings Designing a people place Role of Kerikeri CBD, now and into the future – preparing the CBD for growth Commercial amenity and comparison to other regional centres (current state) Concept of a "village feel" - ensure those attributes that are valued by the community are not lost Pedestrianisation of the main street, sense of a shared space, pedestrian friendly for all, opportunities for hosting events on the main street Ageing and responding to the needs of an ageing population Masterplanning for the CBD, encouraging a vibrant CBD Better connectivity Visible hapu presence and story boards
Critical Friends – representatives from Our Kerikeri, Vision Kerikeri, Kerikeri Business Assoc.and Residents & Ratepayers Assoc.		Provide update to engaged community group reps as requested by councillors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hapū rūpū – background, visioning, involvement in any related projects PDP background and update Spatial plan and PDP relationship, timing and influence SP foundation doc – brief update and overview, evidence gathered/being gathered CBD community plan Next steps and wider/public community engagement

Part 8: Other key Stakeholders

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
Far North Holdings Ltd	From March 2022 (ongoing)	To discuss FNHL perspectives on priorities for the spatial plan; masterplan initiatives; housing solutions and new entity.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Economic, social and cultural lens on projects however must be commercially viable and not be a cost to ratepayer • Own and operate range of infrastructure e.g., Port Authority; involved in hospitality and tourism • Look to how to promote and market the region • Seek to diversify the economy away from dependence on tourism. • Been in the housing space for 3 years - relationships with MHUD, MSD, Kainga Ora • Leasing partner with government for community housing • Seek housing models in Far North like those used in Hastings - council zones its own land for housing appts requirement on it for housing outcomes • Concern regarding access to coast, oversubscribed marinas • Need for understanding of council landholdings so that an analysis can be undertaken of opportunity/use of land • Discussed spatial plan scenarios • FNHL frustrated with lack of engagement with them on development of draft/proposed DP
Sports Northland Stu Middleton - Sport Northland Place Manager Brent Eastwood CEO	July 2022		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Different sports codes and future options for location of facilities • Far North Spaces and Places Plan • Kokiri Ai Te Waka Hourua 2021-30 • Aquatic strategy and indoor courts plan underway this year • Analysis of how much land is required for sport going forward
Te Puawaitanga working group	July 2022	To update Working group on the Foundation Document and receive feedback	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No decision has been made that Bay Sports will consolidate their activities at Te Puawaitanga • Team to meet with BaySports • Currently Te Puawaitanga only has the authority to undertake design and works on 12 hectares of the landholdings, no sewer servicing the land

Who	Date	Purpose	Discussion
			<ul style="list-style-type: none">• So far solutions to flooding issues have not been able to be resolved without causing downstream effects• Reverse sensitivity issues Potential opportunity for other community infrastructure• Civic participation through sports• Codes that spoke to Māori youth and important to engage young people through sporting activity• Concept of developing a sports academy• Enabling Māori cultural norms